

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,245	01/15/2002	Sarvajit Thakur	INS-101	9222	
7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER		
Ray K. Shahani, Esq.			BORISSOV, IGOR N		
ATTORNEY A Twin Oaks Offi		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
477 Ninth Aven		3629			
San Mateo, CA	94402-1854	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	on No	Applicant(s)	· ·			
Office Action Summary				THAKUR ET AL.	(Mo			
		10/050,24		Art Unit	Obs			
		Examiner		3629				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	Igor Boris			s			
Period fo	•							
THE in Extermination   - Extermination   - If the control   - If NC control   - Failure   - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the state state of the	ent, however, may a utory minimum of thir Il expire SIX (6) MON lication to become Ab	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>15 January 200</u>	<u>2</u> .					
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in A ents have beer le 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stag	ge			
A44a								
2) Noti 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fraction Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: examiner believes that the phrase "The method of Claim 8" should be substituted for "The method of Claim 1". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1. The phrase: "the participating agency" has no antecedent basis Claims 2-8 are rejected as being dependent on claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meltzer et al. (US 6,366,925).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,245

Art Unit: 3629

Meltzer et al. (hereinafter Meltzer) teaches a method and system for conducting legal services, comprising:

Claim 1. Electronically accessing an INS application center (C. 5, L. 30-40); entering preliminary screening and qualifying data (C. 6, L. 24-37); determining eligibility of the user for various INS procedures related to immigration and naturalization (C. 8, L. 29-53); selecting and filling INS forms; and electronically sending the completed forms to the particular agency (C. 12, L. 39-55).

Claim 3. Making electronic payments for required fees (C. 14, L. 8-9).

Claims 4-5. Accessing the website over the Internet (C. 4, L. 62 – C. 5, L. 2; L. 39-40).

Claim 6. See reasoning for claim 1.

Claim 7. Determining eligibility of the user by comparing qualifying data to INS requirements (C. 8, L. 34-36).

Claim 8. Creating an account (log) containing qualifying data particular to the user (C. 9, L. 39-60).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meltzer.

Claim 2. Meltzer teaches all the limitations of claim 2, expect specifically teaching printing the completed forms.

Official notice is taken that printing from the Internet is well known.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Meltzer to include printing the completed

Art Unit: 3629

forms from the website, because it would provide user with a hard copy of the filled forms if the electronic system fails.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

The best foreign prior art found by the examiner: DE 19812167A1 to Woschko et al., disclosing a method for conducting a legal consultation over the Internet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

# Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600